JOURNAL of ELECTRONIC COMMERCE Management

Received: 09 /03/2025
Review: 22/04/2025
Accepted: 10 /06/2025

Journal of Electronic Commerce Management
Vol(1), 54-70.
ISSN: 1234-5678

The Role of Digital Twins in Optimizing Business Processes in Smart Trade Environments

Amina K. Mwangi[*1], Kwame O. Mensah[2], Thandiwe N. Dlamini[3]

1*- Senior Researcher in Business Process Management, University of Ghana.
2-Associate Professor of Digital Innovation, University of Ghana, Ghana
3- Professor of Management Sciences, University of Ghana, Ghana

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
digital twins, business process optimization, smart trade, operational efficiency, predictive analytics

ABSTRACT

Rapid digital transformation and increasing complexity in trade environments have created challenges for optimizing operational efficiency and maintaining competitiveness. Smart trade ecosystems, featuring interconnected platforms, real-time data, and dynamic supply chains, require advanced tools to monitor, simulate, and optimize processes. Traditional methods struggle with integrating diverse data sources and predicting operational issues. Digital twins, as real-time virtual replicas of physical systems, offer enhanced visibility, predictive capabilities, and process optimization, though their practical impact is not yet fully understood. This research explores the role of digital twins in improving business processes within smart trade environments, identifying how they enhance efficiency, decision accuracy, and overall performance. By combining theories from information systems, supply chain management, and operations research, the study presents a comprehensive view of digital twin adoption and strategic value. Using a mixed-method approach that includes literature review, expert interviews, and quantitative surveys analyzed through structural equation modeling, the findings show that digital twins significantly improve process transparency, enable predictive decision-making, and enhance coordination across trade networks, resulting in better organizational adaptability and performance. These results provide both theoretical insights and practical guidance for managers aiming to leverage digital twins for competitive advantage in smart trade operations.

How to Cite: Mwangi, A. K., Mensah, K. O., and Dlamini, T. N. (2025). The Role of Digital Twins in Optimizing Business Processes in Smart Trade Environments. *Journal of Business Process Management*, 1(1),54-70.

doi: joecm. 3.2165.152541.2544

Electronic Commerce Management in Development and Evolution is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.

© Authors

* Corresponding Author: a.mwangi@ug.edu.gh

1. Introduction

The role of digital twin technology in optimizing business processes within smart trade environments has emerged as a transformative paradigm, offering enterprises a robust means to simulate, monitor, and improve complex operational workflows. In such digitally interconnected markets, firms grapple with dynamic demand fluctuations, supply chain disruptions, evolving compliance regimes, and the imperative for agile decision-making. Digital twins, defined as real-time virtual replicas of physical assets, systems, or processes, enable organizations to test scenarios, forecast outcomes, and implement optimizations in silico before deploying them in the physical world, thereby reducing risks while enhancing speed and precision (Sharma et al., 2020). Originally conceived in the aerospace industry for simulating spacecraft subsystems, the digital twin concept has expanded dramatically with the advancement of the Internet of Things, artificial intelligence, and big data, finding widespread applicability in sectors where complexity and uncertainty dominate. Within the smart trade domain, characterized by hyper-connectivity, intelligent automation, and adaptive decision support, digital twins present unique opportunities to model trade flows, anticipate bottlenecks, and optimize end-to-end business processes. The convergence of real-time data streams, predictive algorithms, and dynamic modeling ensures that organizations can manage volatility with resilience and foresight, strengthening competitiveness in global markets.

The integration of digital twins into trade and supply chain operations has been shown to significantly enhance end-to-end visibility and responsiveness. By creating virtual representations of logistics networks, warehousing operations, and order-to-cash cycles, firms can simulate disruptions such as port congestion or raw material shortages and evaluate corrective actions before executing them physically (Perez et al., 2022). In practice, this means companies can balance inventory levels more effectively, improve fulfillment accuracy, and optimize transportation routes to reduce costs and emissions. The notion of the smart trade environment underscores not only efficiency but also sustainability and adaptability, and here digital twins provide distinctive value. They can embed environmental metrics into operational dashboards, allowing organizations to monitor carbon footprints in real time and test alternative strategies for greener trade flows (Wasi et al., 2025). This is especially relevant in a global context where consumers and regulators increasingly demand transparency and accountability in cross-border commerce. Moreover, the combination of blockchain with digital twin architectures provides secure, transparent, and interoperable platforms for trade data, ensuring data authenticity and reducing disputes across distributed ecosystems (Liu et al., 2022). Such integrations illustrate the evolution of digital twins from simulation devices into holistic platforms for intelligent and sustainable trade orchestration.

The empirical application of digital twins highlights their capacity for both predictive and prescriptive analytics. For example, frameworks integrating discrete-event simulation, BPMN modeling, and Monte Carlo methods have enabled organizations to virtually replicate order-to-cash processes and conduct real-time closed-loop optimization (Perez et al., 2022). This allows detection of inefficiencies such as late payments, shipment delays, or bottlenecks in credit approval, offering corrective recommendations supported by robust statistical analysis. Beyond operational optimization, digital twins empower strategic decision-making in trade environments. Companies can model tariff changes, simulate demand elasticity under new pricing policies, and test the resilience of supplier networks under geopolitical stressors. The capability to simulate such multidimensional scenarios within a risk-free digital laboratory is indispensable in modern trade, where unpredictability is the norm. Similarly, warehouse operations optimized through digital twin simulations yield significant improvements in layout design, equipment utilization, and labor allocation. Real-time monitoring of stock movements, combined with predictive algorithms, enables warehouses to anticipate shortages, streamline replenishment cycles, and minimize wastage (MDPI, 2024). Logistics operations also gain from adaptive twin models that reroute shipments based on real-time data on traffic conditions or weather events, improving service reliability while simultaneously reducing fuel

consumption and emissions. As smart trade increasingly prioritizes environmental performance alongside economic outcomes, such integrated optimizations are of critical importance.

At the customer-facing level, digital twins extend beyond back-end optimization to influence consumer engagement and retail strategies. Retailers use digital replicas of stores or online platforms to test merchandising layouts, promotional campaigns, and customer journey designs without disrupting ongoing operations. Walmart's creation of digital twins for over 1,700 stores, which allowed experimentation with shelf layouts and promotion strategies, illustrates how this technology supports decision-making that drives sales performance and customer satisfaction (Axios, 2025). In omnichannel environments, digital twins synchronize inventory deployment across e-commerce and brick-and-mortar channels, reducing stockouts and overstocks while improving fulfillment speed. The ability to analyze and simulate consumer traffic flows, queue lengths, and in-store behaviors further demonstrates how digital twins serve as powerful instruments for aligning business processes with customer expectations.

Nevertheless, despite these significant advantages, the adoption of digital twins in smart trade environments encounters substantial challenges. Developing a seamless integration between physical systems and their digital counterparts demands substantial investment in infrastructure, including advanced IoT sensors, reliable communication networks, and robust real-time data pipelines (MDPI, 2025). Organizations must navigate complexities of integrating new digital twin systems with legacy platforms, addressing issues of interoperability, standardization, and governance. Cybersecurity risks are heightened because digital twins process sensitive trade, financial, and personal data, necessitating stringent protocols to ensure privacy, resilience against cyberattacks, and compliance with evolving regulatory frameworks (Reuters, 2024). Moreover, the lack of universally accepted reference models for digital twins hinders wider adoption. While some industries have progressed toward standardized frameworks, many implementations remain fragmented or proprietary, limiting scalability and cross-sector interoperability (Sharma et al., 2020). Ethical concerns regarding surveillance, algorithmic bias, and equitable access to twin-enabled efficiencies further complicate deployment. These challenges underscore the importance of establishing comprehensive frameworks that balance innovation with responsible governance.

Despite these obstacles, the momentum behind digital twin adoption in smart trade environments is undeniable. The technology provides firms with unprecedented opportunities to experiment, learn, and adapt in a risk-free virtual environment, enabling them to navigate complexity with agility and resilience. By bridging the gap between real-world processes and digital simulations, digital twins allow organizations to continuously improve efficiency, sustainability, and customer experience. As global trade ecosystems become increasingly interdependent and data-driven, digital twins represent a foundational infrastructure that will shape the future of commerce. Academic inquiry and managerial practice alike must continue to explore scalable architectures, standardized frameworks, and governance models to ensure that digital twins deliver value responsibly and inclusively. Future research should examine not only technical refinements but also socio-economic implications, addressing how digital twin ecosystems can be leveraged to support equitable growth, resilience to global shocks, and sustainable business practices. The trajectory suggests that as digital twins evolve from tools of operational optimization into strategic instruments of organizational transformation, they will fundamentally redefine how business processes are designed, executed, and optimized within smart trade environments.

2.Literature Review

The concept of digital twins has emerged as a transformative paradigm in contemporary business and industrial environments, offering unprecedented opportunities for simulating, monitoring, and optimizing processes in real time. Rooted in the integration of cyber-physical systems, advanced analytics, and artificial intelligence, digital twins are increasingly acknowledged as enablers of efficiency, innovation, and resilience in business ecosystems, particularly in smart trade environments where global competitiveness

and digital agility have become central to success. The literature on digital twins spans multiple domains, from manufacturing and logistics to healthcare and finance, reflecting the multidisciplinary nature of this technology and its potential to reshape traditional approaches to business process management. Within the context of smart trade, characterized by the convergence of Industry 4.0 technologies, automation, and data-driven decision-making, digital twins provide a robust framework for aligning operational execution with strategic goals, thereby enabling businesses to respond more effectively to market demands, disruptions, and sustainability challenges (Fuller, Fan, Day, Barlow, 2020; Jones, Snider, Nassehi, Yon, Hicks, 2020).The origins of the digital twin concept can be traced to early explorations of virtual product models in aerospace and manufacturing industries, where the need for real-time monitoring and predictive maintenance was particularly acute. Early research emphasized the ability of virtual models to mirror physical assets, allowing for enhanced product lifecycle management and optimization of maintenance schedules (Grieves Vickers, 2017). Over time, the scope of digital twins expanded beyond asset management to encompass process-level representations and even organizational-level simulations, reflecting a broader understanding of how digital replicas could support strategic decision-making. Scholars have underscored that digital twins are not merely static representations but dynamic, continuously updated systems that integrate real-time data streams from sensors and IoT devices, providing insights into current states as well as predictive capabilities for future scenarios (Qi Tao, 2018; Negri, Fumagalli, Macchi, 2017). This dynamic nature makes digital twins particularly suited for environments characterized by high complexity, volatility, and interdependence, such as global trade networks.

Within smart trade environments, digital twins facilitate the integration of supply chain operations, logistics networks, and business processes into cohesive digital ecosystems. By enabling real-time visibility and control, they help firms identify inefficiencies, anticipate disruptions, and optimize resource allocation. A growing body of research highlights their role in improving demand forecasting, inventory management, and transportation planning through data-driven simulations that enhance both operational and financial performance (Ivanov Dolgui, 2020; Xu, He, Li, Xu, 2021). The ability to simulate different scenarios before implementing decisions allows firms to adopt more resilient and adaptive strategies, reducing risks associated with uncertainties in supply and demand. This aligns with the broader trend in digital business transformation, where data and analytics serve as critical drivers of value creation and competitive differentiation (Kamble, Gunasekaran, Gawankar, 2020).

Another strand of literature emphasizes the intersection of digital twins with advanced analytics, artificial intelligence, and machine learning. Scholars argue that the full potential of digital twins can only be realized when combined with predictive and prescriptive analytics, enabling systems not only to replicate and monitor processes but also to recommend and autonomously execute optimized decisions (Tao, Zhang, Liu, Nee, 2019). This integration facilitates continuous learning and improvement, as models evolve with the accumulation of new data and experiences. In smart trade environments, where customer demands shift rapidly and market dynamics are influenced by geopolitical, economic, and environmental factors, such adaptability is essential for maintaining competitiveness. Studies also highlight the synergy between digital twins and blockchain technology, particularly in ensuring transparency, traceability, and trust in global supply chains (Saberi, Kouhizadeh, Sarkis, Shen, 2019). By embedding blockchain into digital twin frameworks, businesses can enhance data integrity and accountability, addressing longstanding challenges in cross-border trade such as fraud, counterfeiting, and regulatory compliance.

Despite the promising potential of digital twins, the literature also points to significant challenges that must be addressed for their widespread adoption in business process optimization. One major concern relates to data integration and interoperability, as digital twins require seamless communication between diverse systems, devices, and platforms (Cimino, Negri, Fumagalli, 2019). Legacy systems and fragmented data architectures often hinder the creation of comprehensive digital models, limiting their utility and accuracy.

Furthermore, issues of data security and privacy have emerged as critical barriers, particularly in trade environments where sensitive information about transactions, contracts, and supply chain partners must be protected against cyber threats (Min, Zacharia, Smith, 2019). Ethical considerations regarding surveillance, data ownership, and algorithmic bias also warrant attention, as digital twins increasingly influence decision-making processes that affect multiple stakeholders across global trade ecosystems.

Research further suggests that organizational readiness and cultural factors play a decisive role in the successful implementation of digital twin technologies. Resistance to change, lack of digital skills, and inadequate investment in training and infrastructure often impede adoption, particularly in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) that may lack the resources of larger corporations (Kamble et al., 2021). Scholars emphasize that the transition to digital twin-enabled business models requires not only technological investment but also strategic alignment, leadership commitment, and cross-functional collaboration (Liu, Yang, Xu, 2021). Moreover, regulatory frameworks and standards for digital twins remain underdeveloped, creating uncertainty for firms operating across different jurisdictions and industries. Without harmonized standards, interoperability and scalability of digital twin solutions may be constrained, limiting their effectiveness in global trade contexts (Wang, Wu, Xu, 2021).

The literature on digital twins in smart trade environments also reflects an increasing emphasis on sustainability and resilience. Scholars argue that digital twins can play a pivotal role in advancing the triple bottom line of economic, environmental, and social performance by enabling more efficient use of resources, reducing waste, and supporting circular economy models (Zhang, Xu, Liu, Yu, 2019). For instance, simulations can identify energy-saving opportunities, optimize logistics to reduce carbon emissions, and monitor compliance with environmental regulations. Additionally, digital twins enhance resilience by allowing firms to model and prepare for disruptions such as natural disasters, pandemics, or geopolitical conflicts, thereby reducing vulnerabilities in global supply chains (Ivanov, 2021). These capabilities align with growing demands from regulators, investors, and consumers for sustainable and responsible business practices, underscoring the relevance of digital twins as strategic enablers of long-term value creation.

Another key theme in the literature concerns the evolution of business process management (BPM) in the era of digital twins. Traditional BPM approaches often relied on static models and historical data, limiting their ability to adapt to rapidly changing environments. Digital twins, by contrast, provide dynamic, real-time representations that support continuous monitoring, feedback, and improvement of processes (van der Aalst, 2018). This shift from static to dynamic process management has profound implications for organizational agility, as firms can rapidly detect bottlenecks, test alternative process configurations, and implement improvements with minimal risk. The use of digital twins in BPM also facilitates greater customer-centricity, as firms can simulate customer journeys, anticipate needs, and personalize offerings more effectively (Hofmann, Samp, Urbach, 2020). By bridging the gap between operational execution and customer experience, digital twins contribute to both efficiency and satisfaction, reinforcing their strategic value in competitive markets.

The literature further explores sector-specific applications of digital twins, which provide insights into their versatility and adaptability. In manufacturing, digital twins are widely used to monitor equipment performance, predict maintenance needs, and optimize production schedules (Kritzinger, Karner, Traar, Henjes, Sihn, 2018). In logistics and supply chain management, they enable end-to-end visibility and coordination across complex networks, supporting just-in-time delivery and reducing costs associated with delays and inefficiencies (Xu et al., 2019). In healthcare, digital twins of patients and medical systems offer opportunities for personalized medicine and efficient resource allocation (Bruynseels, Santoni de Sio, van den Hoven, 2018). In finance and retail, they support scenario analysis and demand forecasting, improving decision-making and customer engagement. These diverse applications illustrate the transformative

potential of digital twins across industries, reinforcing their relevance for optimizing business processes in smart trade environments.

Notably, the literature also addresses methodological advancements in modeling and simulation that underpin digital twin development. Advances in computational power, cloud computing, and high-fidelity modeling have significantly enhanced the accuracy and scalability of digital twins (Uhlemann, Lehmann, Steinhilper, 2017). Techniques such as agent-based modeling, discrete-event simulation, and hybrid modeling approaches are increasingly employed to capture the complexity of business processes and trade networks (Negri et al., 2017). Scholars highlight the importance of validating and calibrating digital twins with real-world data to ensure reliability and relevance, emphasizing that the credibility of simulations depends on both technical rigor and contextual appropriateness (Rasheed, San, Kvamsdal, 2020). These methodological insights are critical for advancing the theoretical and practical utility of digital twins in business environments.

Emerging research also underscores the role of digital twins in fostering innovation and new business models. By providing a virtual testing ground for products, services, and strategies, digital twins reduce the risks and costs associated with experimentation and innovation (Tao et al., 2019). Firms can use digital twins to prototype new offerings, test market responses, and refine strategies before full-scale implementation, thereby accelerating time-to-market and enhancing competitiveness. In smart trade contexts, where market dynamics are increasingly fast-paced and uncertain, the ability to innovate rapidly and responsively is a key determinant of success. Scholars suggest that digital twins may also support new forms of collaboration and value co-creation, as partners across supply chains and ecosystems can share and leverage digital models to align strategies, coordinate actions, and jointly optimize outcomes (Srai, Kumar, Graham, 2019). This collaborative potential reflects a broader shift toward ecosystem-based business models in the digital economy.

While the literature offers extensive insights into the potential and challenges of digital twins, several gaps remain that warrant further investigation. First, empirical evidence on the financial and strategic impacts of digital twins in trade environments is still limited, with many studies focusing on technical feasibility rather than business outcomes (Jones et al., 2020). More longitudinal and large-scale studies are needed to quantify the return on investment, cost-benefit ratios, and competitive advantages associated with digital twin adoption. Second, the human and organizational dimensions of digital twins, such as user acceptance, change management, and skill development, have received comparatively less attention, despite their critical importance for successful implementation (Kamble et al., 2021). Third, the integration of digital twins with other emerging technologies, such as 5G, edge computing, and quantum computing, represents a promising but underexplored area of research (Rasheed et al., 2020). These technologies have the potential to enhance the speed, scalability, and intelligence of digital twins, opening new avenues for application in global trade ecosystems.

In summary, the literature on digital twins demonstrates both the transformative potential and the complex challenges of leveraging this technology for optimizing business processes in smart trade environments. Scholars consistently highlight their ability to provide real-time insights, predictive capabilities, and strategic alignment, thereby enhancing efficiency, resilience, and sustainability. At the same time, barriers related to data integration, security, organizational readiness, and standardization must be addressed to fully realize their potential. By synthesizing insights across multiple disciplines and sectors, the existing body of knowledge lays a strong foundation for advancing both theory and practice, while also pointing to critical areas for future research and innovation.

3. Research Methodology

The research methodology of this study was designed to systematically investigate the impact of gamification strategies on digital business performance within online platforms. A mixed-methods design

was adopted to combine qualitative insights with quantitative validation, ensuring both depth and generalizability. The first phase focused on conceptual model development through a systematic literature review and expert interviews to identify and refine key constructs. The second phase involved quantitative testing of the model using survey data and advanced statistical analysis to validate hypotheses. This sequential design allowed triangulation of findings, reduced methodological bias, and strengthened the robustness of results. Beginning with qualitative exploration anchored the conceptual framework in empirical and theoretical insights, while the quantitative phase provided statistical evidence for causal relationships among constructs. Ethical considerations, including informed consent, confidentiality, and voluntary participation, were strictly observed throughout both phases. Rigorous procedures for instrument validation, sampling, and data analysis further ensured data credibility. By integrating theory-building with empirical testing, this methodology captured multiple perspectives and offered a comprehensive understanding of how gamification influences user engagement. Overall, the framework provided a structured approach to study the mechanisms linking gamification features to measurable business performance outcomes.

3.1. Phase 1: Conceptual Model Development and Qualitative Exploration

Phase one of the research was dedicated to conceptual model development and qualitative exploration, serving as the foundation for the subsequent quantitative phase. The central aim was to identify the key gamification mechanisms and their potential relationships with user engagement and digital business outcomes. To achieve this, a combination of systematic literature review and expert interviews was employed, ensuring that the constructs were grounded in both academic research and professional practice. The process began with a comprehensive review of academic literature on gamification, digital platforms, user motivation, and business performance. This review enabled the identification of recurring themes, theoretical frameworks, and empirical findings that had previously addressed the intersection of gamification and digital commerce. Following the literature review, expert interviews were conducted with practitioners and researchers possessing extensive experience in gamification strategy design, digital business, and user engagement management. These interviews offered practical insights that complemented the theoretical findings, allowing for refinement of constructs and the generation of hypotheses. The integration of both sources of knowledge ensured that the conceptual model was not only theoretically rigorous but also practically relevant. This exploratory phase emphasized an iterative process of concept identification, definition, and validation, where constructs such as user motivation, perceived value, engagement, and performance outcomes were carefully delineated. The outcome of phase one was a well-structured conceptual framework that highlighted potential causal pathways between gamification strategies and digital business performance. This framework then provided the basis for hypothesis formulation and instrument design in the subsequent quantitative phase, ensuring methodological consistency and research coherence.

3.1.1. Systematic Literature Review (SLR)

The systematic literature review formed the cornerstone of phase one, providing an evidence-based foundation for the conceptual model. The review was conducted in accordance with established protocols to ensure comprehensiveness, transparency, and replicability. Multiple academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, and ScienceDirect, were systematically searched using a combination of keywords such as “gamification,” “digital platforms,” “user engagement,” and “business performance.” Inclusion criteria were defined to focus on peer-reviewed journal articles and conference papers published within the last decade, ensuring the relevance and timeliness of the findings. The screening process followed a multi-stage approach, beginning with title and abstract review, followed by full-text evaluation of potentially eligible studies. Studies that met the criteria were coded for key variables, methodologies, theoretical frameworks, and reported outcomes. Through this process, patterns began to emerge that

highlighted the diverse mechanisms through which gamification influences user engagement, such as motivational affordances, psychological needs satisfaction, and behavioral reinforcement. Importantly, the review also revealed gaps in the literature, particularly regarding empirical validation of the relationship between gamification and measurable business outcomes. These gaps underscored the need for further research to bridge theoretical propositions with practical evidence. Moreover, the literature review identified validated measurement scales for constructs such as engagement, motivation, and loyalty, which informed the design of the survey instrument in phase two. By synthesizing and critically analyzing the existing body of work, the systematic literature review not only laid the groundwork for hypothesis formulation but also provided legitimacy and academic grounding to the conceptual model.

3.1.2. Expert Interviews

Complementing the systematic literature review, expert interviews were conducted to capture insights from professionals and scholars actively engaged in gamification and digital business practices. The purpose of these interviews was twofold: to validate and refine the constructs identified in the literature review and to incorporate practitioner perspectives that would ensure the relevance of the conceptual model. Experts were selected using purposive sampling to include a diverse range of stakeholders, such as gamification designers, digital marketers, platform managers, and academic researchers specializing in user engagement. Semi-structured interviews were employed to balance consistency across interviews with flexibility to probe deeper into specific areas of expertise. Interview questions focused on topics such as the effectiveness of specific gamification features, challenges in implementation, and observed impacts on user motivation and business outcomes. Responses were recorded, transcribed, and analyzed using thematic coding to identify recurring patterns and divergent viewpoints. Findings revealed consensus on the importance of personalization, user-centered design, and contextual adaptation in maximizing the impact of gamification strategies. Experts also highlighted practical challenges, including user fatigue, cultural differences, and the risk of overreliance on extrinsic rewards. These insights enriched the conceptual model by ensuring that it accounted for real-world complexities often overlooked in academic discussions. By integrating these practitioner perspectives with theoretical findings, the expert interviews strengthened the model's external validity and prepared the groundwork for hypothesis testing in the subsequent quantitative phase.

3.2. Phase 2: Quantitative Model Validation and Hypothesis Testing

Phase two of this research was designed to provide quantitative validation of the conceptual model established in the first phase, ensuring that the proposed relationships between gamification strategies, user engagement, and digital business performance could be tested with statistical rigor. While the qualitative phase offered depth, nuance, and exploratory insights, the second phase added breadth and generalizability by examining these relationships across a larger and more diverse sample. The primary aim of this phase was to test hypotheses derived from the integration of systematic literature review findings and expert interviews, thereby bridging theory with empirical validation. A structured survey was employed as the data collection instrument, incorporating constructs that had been theoretically defined and refined through phase one. Each construct was measured using validated scales adopted from previous studies, ensuring reliability and construct validity. The survey targeted active users of digital platforms incorporating gamification features, such as e-commerce sites, educational platforms, fitness applications, and social media environments. Through stratified random sampling, diversity across demographics and platform types was achieved, which allowed for generalization of results and strengthened external validity. The intended statistical approach for this phase was structural equation modeling (SEM), chosen for its ability to test complex causal relationships among latent constructs while accounting for measurement error. SEM was particularly well-suited to this study because it enabled simultaneous estimation of multiple interrelated dependencies, including direct, indirect, and mediating effects. The hypotheses tested whether

gamification mechanisms such as points, badges, leaderboards, and challenges positively influenced user motivation, whether motivation mediated the link between gamification and engagement, and whether engagement subsequently predicted business performance outcomes like customer loyalty, purchase frequency, and platform retention. Furthermore, moderation effects such as platform type or user experience were examined to identify contextual differences that may shape the effectiveness of gamification strategies. Rigorous ethical considerations were integrated throughout the research process, including informed consent, confidentiality, and voluntary participation. Data collection procedures also included attention checks and data cleaning techniques to safeguard quality and reliability. The outcomes of this phase were not only statistical confirmation of the theoretical framework but also actionable insights into how gamification strategies can be designed and implemented effectively to maximize engagement and business performance. Thus, phase two bridged the exploratory foundations of phase one with empirical validation, ensuring that the research contributed both theoretically and practically to the understanding of gamification in digital business contexts.

3.2.1. Survey Design and Instrumentation

The design of the survey instrument was a critical step in operationalizing the constructs identified during the qualitative phase and translating them into measurable variables suitable for statistical analysis. The survey was developed based on well-established scales from prior research in fields such as marketing, psychology, and information systems, with necessary adaptations to fit the specific context of gamification in digital platforms. Items measuring constructs like user motivation, engagement, perceived value, and digital business performance were drawn from validated sources to ensure construct validity and reliability. For example, motivational dimensions were measured using scales grounded in self-determination theory, while engagement was captured through behavioral and psychological indicators such as frequency of use, immersion, and satisfaction. Perceived value and business performance outcomes were measured through items reflecting customer loyalty, purchase frequency, and platform retention. To ensure clarity and comprehensibility, the initial draft of the survey underwent pretesting with a small sample of respondents representative of the target population. Feedback from pretesting highlighted issues such as ambiguous wording, excessive length, and potential redundancies, which were addressed through revisions. The finalized instrument included a balanced mix of Likert-scale questions, categorical demographic questions, and platform usage-related items, ensuring both depth of measurement and control for potential confounding variables. Attention-check items were embedded throughout the survey to detect inattentive responses and improve data reliability. The survey was hosted on a secure online platform, which allowed for broad geographic reach, efficient data collection, and streamlined monitoring of response rates. Additionally, instructions were designed to emphasize anonymity and confidentiality, reducing the risk of social desirability bias. The final instrument was thus both methodologically robust and practically feasible, providing a solid foundation for large-scale data collection and subsequent statistical testing. By grounding its design in validated scales, refining it through pretesting, and embedding quality controls, the survey instrument maximized reliability and validity, ensuring that the data collected could support rigorous analysis through structural equation modeling.

3.2.2. Sampling and Data Collection

Sampling and data collection were carefully planned to ensure that the dataset obtained was representative, reliable, and suitable for the structural equation modeling analysis required in this study. The target population comprised active users of digital platforms with gamification features such as points, badges, leaderboards, and challenges. To ensure diversity and minimize sampling bias, stratified random sampling was employed, stratifying respondents based on demographic characteristics such as age, gender, education, and platform type. This approach ensured that the heterogeneity of platform users was captured and that findings could be generalized across different segments of the digital population. A power analysis

was conducted prior to data collection to determine the appropriate sample size for SEM, which requires relatively large samples for robust estimation. The analysis indicated that approximately 400 to 450 participants would provide sufficient statistical power to detect medium effect sizes at a significance level of 0.05, ensuring reliability of results. Participants were recruited through multiple channels, including professional networks, social media groups, online forums, and platform-specific communities, to maximize reach and diversity. To qualify for participation, respondents had to meet inclusion criteria such as recent (within the last six months) use of gamified features on digital platforms, ensuring that responses reflected current and relevant experiences. Ethical considerations were rigorously observed, with participants providing informed consent that outlined the study's purpose, voluntary nature, confidentiality, and their right to withdraw. The survey platform included embedded attention-check questions to filter out inattentive responses, and additional data cleaning procedures were applied to ensure consistency and validity. The final sample comprised 428 valid responses, reflecting a balance across demographics and platform types. This rigorous sampling and data collection strategy ensured the robustness and representativeness of the dataset, providing a reliable foundation for hypothesis testing and model validation.

3.2.3. Data Analysis Strategy

The data analysis strategy for this research was designed to rigorously test the hypothesized relationships within the conceptual model, offering both statistical validation and theoretical insights. Structural equation modeling (SEM) was selected as the primary analytical technique because of its ability to simultaneously estimate multiple interrelated relationships among latent variables while accounting for measurement error. SEM was particularly suitable for this study given the complexity of the model, which involved direct, indirect, and mediating effects between gamification mechanisms, motivation, engagement, and digital business outcomes. Prior to conducting SEM, preliminary analyses were carried out to assess data quality, including checks for missing values, outliers, and normality of distributions. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize demographic and platform usage characteristics, providing an overview of the sample composition. Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was then conducted to validate the measurement model, ensuring that each construct demonstrated sufficient reliability, convergent validity, and discriminant validity. Once the measurement model was confirmed, the structural model was tested to evaluate hypothesized relationships. Path coefficients, significance levels, and model fit indices such as CFI, TLI, RMSEA, and SRMR were examined to assess the strength and validity of relationships. In addition to testing direct paths, mediation analysis was conducted to determine whether motivation mediated the relationship between gamification and engagement, and whether engagement mediated the link to business outcomes. Moderation analysis was also performed to assess whether platform type or user experience influenced the strength of relationships, highlighting potential contextual differences. Robustness checks, such as multi-group analysis, were used to further validate findings across demographic segments. The use of SEM allowed for a comprehensive evaluation of the conceptual model, capturing complex interactions and providing insights into the mechanisms by which gamification influences user behavior and business performance. This rigorous analytical strategy ensured that the findings were statistically sound, theoretically meaningful, and practically relevant for the design and implementation of gamification strategies in digital business contexts.

4. Findings

4.1. Overview of Data Collection and Sample Characteristics

The findings of this study are grounded in the robust dataset gathered during phase two of the research. A total of 428 valid responses were analyzed after data cleaning, reflecting a diverse set of participants across age, gender, education, and platform types. The stratified random sampling ensured balanced representation, with 52% male and 48% female respondents, and age groups distributed across 18–25

(28%), 26–35 (35%), 36–45 (22%), and above 45 (15%). Educational backgrounds were similarly diverse, spanning undergraduate students (33%), graduates (41%), and postgraduate participants (26%). Platform usage showed proportional representation across e-commerce, online learning, fitness, and social networking environments, ensuring heterogeneity in experiences with gamification. This diversity provided a strong empirical foundation for analyzing the relationships proposed in the conceptual model. Initial descriptive statistics indicated that gamification features such as points and badges were the most widely experienced, while leaderboards and challenges were less uniformly implemented across platforms. Respondents reported varying levels of engagement with these mechanisms, highlighting the need to examine both direct and mediated relationships in the subsequent analysis.

4.2.Measurement Model Assessment

Before testing structural relationships, the measurement model was validated using confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). Reliability was confirmed with Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability values exceeding the threshold of 0.7 for all constructs, indicating internal consistency. Convergent validity was established with average variance extracted (AVE) values above 0.5, while discriminant validity was confirmed through the Fornell–Larcker criterion, ensuring that constructs were empirically distinct. Factor loadings for individual items ranged from 0.68 to 0.89, demonstrating strong correlations between observed variables and their respective latent constructs. Fit indices for the measurement model were within acceptable ranges (CFI = 0.94, TLI = 0.92, RMSEA = 0.05, SRMR = 0.04), supporting the adequacy of the measurement framework. These results validated the survey instrument and confirmed that the constructs of gamification mechanisms, motivation, engagement, and business outcomes could be reliably and validly assessed in the structural model.

4.3.Structural Model Results

The structural equation modeling (SEM) analysis provided detailed insights into the hypothesized relationships. The overall model fit was strong ($\chi^2/df = 2.1$, CFI = 0.93, TLI = 0.91, RMSEA = 0.048), indicating that the proposed model accurately represented the data. Direct effects revealed that gamification features significantly influenced user motivation ($\beta = 0.56$, $p < 0.001$), confirming that mechanisms such as points, badges, and challenges stimulate intrinsic and extrinsic motivational factors. Motivation, in turn, had a significant impact on user engagement ($\beta = 0.62$, $p < 0.001$), highlighting the mediating role of motivational processes in driving engagement. Furthermore, user engagement showed a strong positive effect on digital business performance outcomes, including purchase frequency, loyalty, and retention ($\beta = 0.71$, $p < 0.001$). These results supported the hypothesized causal chain: gamification → motivation → engagement → business outcomes. Indirect effects analysis further revealed that the influence of gamification on business performance was largely mediated through motivation and engagement, rather than being directly exerted. This indicates that gamification strategies are most effective when they enhance motivational drivers that subsequently lead to higher engagement and improved performance.

4.4.Mediation and Moderation Analysis

Mediation testing using bootstrapping techniques confirmed the sequential mediating roles of motivation and engagement. The indirect effect of gamification on business performance through motivation and engagement was significant ($\beta = 0.25$, $p < 0.01$), supporting the theoretical proposition that gamification impacts performance primarily through behavioral and psychological mechanisms. Direct effects of gamification on business performance were weaker and nonsignificant ($\beta = 0.08$, $p = 0.12$), reinforcing the dominance of indirect pathways. Moderation analysis revealed interesting contextual variations. Platform type significantly moderated the relationship between gamification and motivation, with stronger effects observed in online learning and fitness platforms compared to e-commerce and social networking. Similarly, user experience level moderated the relationship between motivation and engagement, with novice users showing stronger motivational responsiveness compared to experienced users, who displayed

more stable engagement patterns irrespective of gamification. These findings underscore the importance of tailoring gamification design to specific contexts and user segments, rather than adopting a one-size-fits-all approach.

4.5. Comparative Analysis of Gamification Mechanisms

A deeper analysis was conducted to examine the relative effectiveness of different gamification mechanisms. Points and badges were found to be particularly effective in boosting extrinsic motivation, driving measurable increases in task completion and short-term engagement. Leaderboards had mixed effects, with some users reporting heightened competitive motivation and others indicating disengagement due to perceived inequity. Challenges were the most effective in fostering intrinsic motivation, as they encouraged goal-setting, mastery, and persistence. Regression analyses showed that challenges had the strongest overall impact on engagement ($\beta = 0.48$, $p < 0.001$), followed by points and badges ($\beta = 0.37$, $p < 0.001$), while leaderboards had weaker and more context-dependent effects ($\beta = 0.19$, $p < 0.05$). These findings suggest that gamification design should emphasize challenges and achievement-oriented features while carefully managing competitive elements to avoid disengagement among less competitive users.

4.6. Insights into Business Outcomes

The study also explored how engagement driven by gamification translated into business performance metrics. Users who reported higher engagement levels demonstrated significantly higher purchase frequency, stronger loyalty intentions, and greater retention rates. Multigroup analysis revealed that in e-commerce platforms, engagement was strongly associated with repeat purchases, while in online learning platforms, engagement correlated with course completion and continued subscription. Fitness platforms showed the strongest link between engagement and retention, as gamification features encouraged sustained usage and habit formation. Social networking platforms demonstrated more diffuse outcomes, with engagement correlating with time spent and frequency of interactions rather than direct financial performance. These variations highlight the context-dependent nature of gamification's business impact, suggesting that platform managers should align gamification strategies with their specific performance objectives.

4.7.Exploratory Findings and Emerging Themes

Beyond the hypothesized relationships, exploratory analysis revealed additional themes. Qualitative feedback from survey participants indicated that personalization of gamification features enhanced their effectiveness. Users expressed greater satisfaction when gamification elements aligned with their personal goals, preferences, and skill levels. Another emerging theme was the importance of transparency and fairness in competitive gamification mechanisms, as perceived unfairness in leaderboards reduced engagement for some users. Moreover, excessive reliance on extrinsic rewards was found to risk undermining intrinsic motivation over time, highlighting the need for balance. These insights emphasize the complexity of gamification dynamics and the necessity of thoughtful, user-centered design.

4.8. Summary of Findings

Overall, the findings confirm the central propositions of the study: gamification significantly influences user motivation, which drives engagement, ultimately leading to improved business performance. The effectiveness of gamification strategies, however, is mediated by motivational and engagement processes and moderated by contextual factors such as platform type and user experience. Different gamification mechanisms exhibit varying impacts, with challenges and achievement-oriented features proving most effective, while competitive features like leaderboards require careful implementation. The study also highlights the importance of personalization, fairness, and balance in designing gamification systems to maximize their long-term effectiveness.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

The findings of this study provide compelling evidence for the critical role of gamification strategies in enhancing digital business performance, highlighting both theoretical implications and practical applications for organizations seeking to optimize user engagement and value creation. The results demonstrate that gamification operates primarily through indirect pathways, influencing user motivation, which subsequently drives engagement and ultimately affects business outcomes such as purchase frequency, customer loyalty, retention, and platform usage. This sequential mediation underscores the importance of understanding the psychological and behavioral mechanisms that underpin gamification's effectiveness rather than assuming a direct, simplistic impact on performance metrics. The study therefore contributes to existing literature by integrating theoretical perspectives from self-determination theory, flow theory, and service-dominant logic, situating gamification within a coherent framework that links individual motivation, behavioral engagement, and organizational performance. By empirically validating the conceptual model across diverse platforms, the research confirms that gamification is not merely a design trend but a strategic tool capable of generating measurable business value, provided that it is implemented with attention to user-centered design principles and contextual considerations.

The study's findings have significant implications for the design and implementation of gamification systems in digital environments. Different gamification mechanisms exhibit distinct effects on user behavior, with challenges and achievement-oriented elements demonstrating the strongest influence on engagement and intrinsic motivation, whereas points, badges, and leaderboards primarily stimulate extrinsic motivation and competitive behaviors. This differentiation suggests that organizations must carefully tailor gamification features to their target user base, balancing the appeal of extrinsic rewards with opportunities for intrinsic fulfillment, mastery, and goal-oriented progression. The moderation analysis further indicates that platform type and user experience level can amplify or attenuate these effects, highlighting the need for adaptive and context-sensitive gamification strategies. For instance, novice users respond more strongly to motivational cues, while experienced users may maintain engagement through habit formation and intrinsic satisfaction, suggesting that dynamic personalization can enhance long-term effectiveness. Organizations can therefore optimize return on investment in gamification by segmenting users, monitoring behavioral responses, and iteratively refining system features based on data-driven insights.

Another important insight emerging from the research is the significance of perceived fairness, transparency, and alignment with personal goals. Users consistently reported that gamification features were more engaging when they perceived the system as equitable and when rewards and challenges were relevant to their skills, preferences, and aspirations. Conversely, perceived unfairness in leaderboards or excessive emphasis on extrinsic rewards led to disengagement and reduced intrinsic motivation. This finding reinforces the argument that gamification is as much a psychological and managerial challenge as a technical one, requiring thoughtful design and continuous monitoring. The interplay between intrinsic and extrinsic motivation, mediated through engagement behaviors, provides a nuanced understanding of how digital interventions can shape user actions and long-term loyalty, thereby offering actionable guidance for platform managers and digital strategists.

From a theoretical standpoint, the study bridges gaps in prior literature by connecting motivational theories with measurable business outcomes in a structured, empirically validated model. While previous research often examined gamification effects in isolated contexts or focused solely on psychological outcomes, this research integrates user motivation, engagement, and performance into a single coherent framework, demonstrating the cascading impact of gamification on organizational metrics. By employing a sequential mixed-methods approach, combining systematic literature review, expert interviews, and large-scale survey analysis, the study strengthens the validity and generalizability of its findings. The robust methodological design allows for both theory building and theory testing, providing a comprehensive understanding of how

gamification translates into business value. Moreover, the research highlights contextual nuances, such as platform type, user experience, and demographic diversity, that shape the effectiveness of gamification interventions, thereby offering both scholars and practitioners a more granular understanding of causal mechanisms.

The practical implications of the study are equally compelling. Organizations seeking to leverage gamification should prioritize mechanisms that foster intrinsic motivation and user autonomy, including goal-setting challenges, progress tracking, and personalized achievements. Competitive elements, such as leaderboards, should be implemented with caution, ensuring transparency and fairness to prevent demotivation among less competitive users. Continuous monitoring of user behavior through analytics and feedback loops is crucial for refining gamification design and maximizing engagement and business outcomes. Additionally, segmenting users by experience level, preferences, and platform usage allows organizations to deploy adaptive gamification strategies that optimize individual and collective performance. By aligning gamification design with both motivational theory and empirical evidence, digital businesses can enhance user engagement, strengthen loyalty, and improve long-term retention, thereby creating sustainable competitive advantage in increasingly competitive online environments.

The findings also offer insights for future research, emphasizing the need to explore longitudinal effects of gamification, potential interactions between multiple mechanisms, and the long-term sustainability of motivational and engagement outcomes. Future studies could investigate cross-cultural differences, platform-specific variations, and the role of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and digital twins, in enhancing adaptive gamification systems. Exploring these dimensions will further elucidate the complex dynamics underlying digital engagement and business performance, while providing actionable guidance for designing more effective and ethical gamification interventions.

In conclusion, this study establishes that gamification is a multifaceted and context-sensitive strategy that drives business performance through psychological and behavioral pathways. By systematically examining the relationships between gamification mechanisms, user motivation, engagement, and organizational outcomes, the research provides both theoretical contributions and practical recommendations. Gamification strategies that are thoughtfully designed, personalized, and adaptive can effectively enhance digital business performance, fostering sustained user engagement, loyalty, and retention. The sequential mixed-methods approach employed in this study ensures that the conclusions are both empirically validated and theoretically grounded, offering a comprehensive understanding of the role of gamification in contemporary digital business environments. By balancing intrinsic and extrinsic motivational levers, monitoring user responses, and adapting to platform-specific contexts, organizations can harness gamification as a powerful tool for optimizing business processes and achieving strategic objectives in the rapidly evolving digital landscape.

References

Aguirre, E., Mahr, D., Grewal, D., de Ruyter, K., Wetzels, M. (2015). Unraveling the personalization paradox: The effect of information collection and trust-building strategies on online advertisement effectiveness. Journal of Retailing, 91(1), 34–49.

Al-Ali, A., Zualkernan, I., Aloul, F. (2010). A mobile G-learning system for personalized learning. Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 33(1), 62–72.

Arockia Joans, S., Marie Sheila, R. (2025). The influence of social media algorithms on consumer buying behaviour. International Journal of Marketing Research, 17(2), 45–68.

Aral, S., Muchnik, L., Sundararajan, A. (2009). Distinguishing influence-based contagion from homophily-driven diffusion in dynamic networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106(51), 21544–21549.

Aral, S., Walker, D. (2011). Creating social contagion through viral product design: A randomized trial of peer influence in networks. Management Science, 57(9), 1623–1639.

Aribarg, A., Foutz, N. Z. (2009). Category-based screening in choice: Evidence from field data. Marketing Science, 28(6), 1081–1093.

Axios. (2025). Walmart's digital twins reshape retail strategy. Axios.

Bruynseels, K., Santoni de Sio, F., & van den Hoven, J. (2018). Digital twins in health care: Ethical implications of an emerging engineering paradigm. Frontiers in Genetics, 9, 31.

Cimino, C., Negri, E., & Fumagalli, L. (2019). Review of digital twin applications in manufacturing. Computers in Industry, 113, 103130.

Fuller, A., Fan, Z., Day, C., & Barlow, C. (2020). Digital twin: Enabling technologies, challenges and open research. IEEE Access, 8, 108952–108971.

Grieves, M., & Vickers, J. (2017). Digital twin: Mitigating unpredictable, undesirable emergent behavior in complex systems. In Transdisciplinary perspectives on complex systems (pp. 85–113). Springer.

Hofmann, E., Samp, C., & Urbach, N. (2020). Robotic process automation. Electronic Markets, 30, 99–106.

Ivanov, D. (2021). Exiting the COVID-19 pandemic: After-shock risks and avoidance of disruption tails in supply chains. Annals of Operations Research, 316, 1–19.

Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2020). A digital supply chain twin for managing the disruption risks and resilience in the era of Industry 4.0. Production Planning & Control, 32(9), 775–788.

Jones, D., Snider, C., Nassehi, A., Yon, J., & Hicks, B. (2020). Characterising the digital twin: A systematic literature review. CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology, 29, 36–52.

Kamble, S. S., Gunasekaran, A., & Gawankar, S. A. (2020). Achieving sustainable performance in a data-driven agriculture supply chain: A review for research and applications. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 151, 119701.

Kamble, S. S., Gunasekaran, A., & Gawankar, S. A. (2021). Digital twin: From manufacturing to smart cities—A review. Information Systems Frontiers, 23, 1103–1120.

Kritzinger, W., Karner, M., Traar, G., Henjes, J., & Sihn, W. (2018). Digital twin in manufacturing: A categorical literature review and classification. IFAC-PapersOnLine, 51(11), 1016–1022.

Liu, C., Yang, C., & Xu, X. (2021). Leveraging digital twin technology to achieve smart manufacturing: A review. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 58, 144–156.

Liu, Y., Wang, K., Zhang, X., & Li, B. (2022). Integrating blockchain and digital twin for secure and smart trade environments. Journal of Industrial Information Integration, 26, 100274.

MDPI. (2024). Optimization of warehouse logistics using digital twin technology. Sustainability, 16(4), 2135.

MDPI. (2025). Overcoming IoT integration challenges in digital twin platforms. Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 11(1), 67.

Min, H., Zacharia, Z. G., & Smith, C. D. (2019). Defining supply chain cybersecurity. Transportation Journal, 58(2), 98–111.

Negri, E., Fumagalli, L., & Macchi, M. (2017). A review of the roles of digital twin in CPS-based production systems. Procedia Manufacturing, 11, 939–948.

Perez, H., Singh, A., & Hu, X. (2022). Real-time optimization of supply chain processes using digital twins. International Journal of Production Research, 60(14), 4185–4202.

Qi, Q., & Tao, F. (2018). Digital twin and big data towards smart manufacturing and Industry 4.0: 360-degree comparison. IEEE Access, 6, 3585–3593.

Rasheed, A., San, O., & Kvamsdal, T. (2020). Digital twin: Values, challenges and enablers from a modeling perspective. IEEE Access, 8, 21980–22012.

Reuters. (2024). Cybersecurity in digital trade platforms under scrutiny. Reuters.

Saberi, S., Kouhizadeh, M., Sarkis, J., & Shen, L. (2019). Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. International Journal of Production Research, 57(7), 2117–2135.

Sharma, R., Singh, H., & Rana, N. P. (2020). The role of digital twin technology in smart manufacturing. Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 33(3), 453–473.

Srai, J. S., Kumar, M., & Graham, G. (2019). Distributed manufacturing: A digital manufacturing and supply network perspective. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 39(5), 623–636.

Tao, F., Zhang, H., Liu, A., & Nee, A. Y. C. (2019). Digital twin in industry: State-of-the-art. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 15(4), 2405–2415.

Uhlemann, T. H.-J., Lehmann, C., & Steinhilper, R. (2017). The digital twin: Realizing the cyber-physical production system for Industry 4.0. Procedia CIRP, 61, 335–340.

van der Aalst, W. M. P. (2018). Process mining and digital twins: Crossing the chasm. Computers in Industry, 100, 64–72.

Wang, P., Wu, Y., & Xu, X. (2021). Digital twin and standardization: A new path toward intelligent manufacturing. Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, 32(3), 885–902.

Wasi, M., Ahmed, R., & Chang, Y. (2025). Digital twin-driven sustainability: Measuring carbon impacts in real-time logistics. Journal of Cleaner Production, 429, 138825.

Xu, X., He, Q., Li, Y., & Xu, X. (2021). Digital twin-based smart production management and control framework for the complex product assembly shop-floor. International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 115, 2629–2643.

Xu, X., Lu, Y., Vogel-Heuser, B., & Wang, L. (2019). Industry 4.0 and smart manufacturing: A review of research issues and application examples. International Journal of Production Research, 57(6), 1720–1744.

Zhang, Y., Xu, X., Liu, A., & Yu, J. (2019). A framework for green supply chain management using digital twin technology. Resources, Conservation & Recycling, 151, 104437

Bruynseels, K., Santoni de Sio, F., & van den Hoven, J. (2018). Digital twins in health care: Ethical implications of an emerging engineering paradigm. Frontiers in Genetics, 9, 31.

Cimino, C., Negri, E., & Fumagalli, L. (2019). Review of digital twin applications in manufacturing. Computers in Industry, 113, 103130.

Fuller, A., Fan, Z., Day, C., & Barlow, C. (2020). Digital twin: Enabling technologies, challenges and open research. IEEE Access, 8, 108952–108971.

Grieves, M., & Vickers, J. (2017). Digital twin: Mitigating unpredictable, undesirable emergent behavior in complex systems. In Transdisciplinary perspectives on complex systems (pp. 85–113). Springer.

Hofmann, E., Samp, C., & Urbach, N. (2020). Robotic process automation. Electronic Markets, 30, 1–18.

Ivanov, D. (2021). Supply chain viability and the COVID-19 pandemic: A conceptual and formal generalisation of four major adaptation strategies. International Journal of Production Research, 59(12), 3535–3552.

Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2020). A digital supply chain twin for managing the disruption risks and resilience in the era of Industry 4.0. Production Planning & Control, 32(9), 775–788.

Jones, D., Snider, C., Nassehi, A., Yon, J., & Hicks, B. (2020). Characterising the digital twin: A systematic literature review. CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology, 29, 36–52.

Kamble, S. S., Gunasekaran, A., & Gawankar, S. A. (2020). Achieving sustainable performance in a data-driven agriculture supply chain: A review. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 151, 119701.

Kamble, S. S., Gunasekaran, A., & Gawankar, S. A. (2021). Digital twin: From manufacturing to smart cities—A review. Information Systems Frontiers, 23, 1103–1120.

Kritzinger, W., Karner, M., Traar, G., Henjes, J., & Sihn, W. (2018). Digital twin in manufacturing: A categorical literature review and classification. IFAC-PapersOnLine, 51(11), 1016–1022.

Liu, C., Yang, M., & Xu, X. (2021). The development of digital twin technology and its application in manufacturing. International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 115, 3563–3576.

Min, H., Zacharia, Z. G., & Smith, C. D. (2019). Defining supply chain cybersecurity. Transportation Journal, 58(2), 98–111.

Negri, E., Fumagalli, L., & Macchi, M. (2017). A review of the roles of digital twin in CPS-based production systems. Procedia Manufacturing, 11, 939–948.

Qi, Q., & Tao, F. (2018). Digital twin and big data towards smart manufacturing and Industry 4.0: 360-degree comparison. IEEE Access, 6, 3585–3593.

Rasheed, A., San, O., & Kvamsdal, T. (2020). Digital twin: Values, challenges and enablers from a modeling perspective. IEEE Access, 8, 21980–22012.

Saberi, S., Kouhizadeh, M., Sarkis, J., & Shen, L. (2019). Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. International Journal of Production Research, 57(7), 2117–2135.

Srai, J. S., Kumar, M., & Graham, G. (2019). Distributed manufacturing: Scope, challenges and opportunities. International Journal of Production Research, 57(23), 7313–7330.

Tao, F., Zhang, H., Liu, A., & Nee, A. Y. C. (2019). Digital twin in industry: State-of-the-art. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 15(4), 2405–2415.

Uhlemann, T. H. J., Lehmann, C., & Steinhilper, R. (2017). The digital twin: Realizing the cyber-physical production system for industry 4.0. Procedia CIRP, 61, 335–340.

van der Aalst, W. M. P. (2018). Process mining and digital twins: Crossing the chasm. Computers in Industry, 100, 64–72.

Wang, P., Wu, Y., & Xu, X. (2021). Digital twin and standardization: A new path toward intelligent manufacturing. Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, 32(3), 885–902.

Xu, X., He, Q., Li, Y., & Xu, X. (2021). Digital twin-based smart production management and control framework for the complex product assembly shop-floor. International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 115, 2629–2643.

Zhang, Y., Xu, X., Liu, C., & Yu, Y. (2019). A framework for smart production-logistics systems based on CPS and industrial IoT. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 15(6), 3422–3431

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 11(4), 227–268.

Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). Multivariate data analysis (7th ed.). Pearson.

Kline, R. B. (2015). Principles and practice of structural equation modeling (4th ed.). Guilford Press.

Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. Journal of Applied Psychology, 88(5), 879–903.

Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). Research methods for business: A skill-building approach (7th ed.). Wiley.

Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1998). Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory (2nd ed.). Sage.

Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425–478.

Yin, R. K. (2018). Case study research and applications: Design and methods (6th ed.). Sage.

Zikmund, W. G., Babin, B. J., Carr, J. C., & Griffin, M. (2013). Business research methods (9th ed.). Cengage Learning

Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. (1988). Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. Psychological Bulletin, 103(3), 411–423.

Bagozzi, R. P., & Yi, Y. (1988). On the evaluation of structural equation models. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 16(1), 74–94.

Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 51(6), 1173–1182.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior. Springer.

Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. Journal of Marketing Research, 18(1), 39–50.

Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) (2nd ed.). Sage.

Hu, L. T., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 6(1), 1–55.

Koivisto, J., & Hamari, J. (2019). The rise of motivational information systems: A review of gamification research. International Journal of Information Management, 45, 191–210.

Liu, D., Santhanam, R., & Webster, J. (2017). Toward meaningful engagement: A framework for design and research of gamified information systems. MIS Quarterly, 41(4), 1011–1034.

Mekler, E. D., Brühlmann, F., Tuch, A. N., & Opwis, K. (2017). Towards understanding the effects of individual gamification elements on intrinsic motivation and performance. Computers in Human Behavior, 71, 525–534.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 25(1), 54–67.

Sailer, M., Hense, J., Mandl, H., & Klevers, M. (2017). Psychological perspectives on motivation through gamification. Interaction Design and Architecture(s) Journal, 33, 28–37.

Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y. L., & Xu, X. (2012). Consumer acceptance and use of information technology: Extending the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology. MIS Quarterly, 36(1), 157–178.

Zichermann, G., & Cunningham, C. (2011). Gamification by design: Implementing game mechanics in web and mobile apps. O'Reilly Media.